
149



icient versus pressure e measurements show

this was useful in 7-T cell diaphragm ements were made e and Consolidated

th were determined Scale".6 The bath 5 mdeg equivalent.

coefficient β was sure range, usually ssure, for temperatypical results are rve illustrates the easing pressure for



ressibility at 2.200°K resent measurements; and Keesom (Ref. 1); herman (Ref. 5).

urieux, J. R. Clement, S.) 64A, No. 1 (1960)

T > 2.17°K. It was fitted with an empirical formula, $\beta'(2.200$ °K)=0.30×10⁻³+(72.0+6.66P)⁻¹ atm⁻¹, (3)

to about 1.5%; deviations of the measurements are given in Fig. 3. Also given there is a comparison with β derived from density data of Keesom and Keesom¹ and of Edeskuty and Sherman.⁵ Agreement between the three sets of results seems reasonable and the comparison is valid since no λ anomalies exist at this temperature.

A view of Fig. 2 again shows that a compressibility curve between 1.80 and 2.05°K parallels the 2.20°K curve at low pressures, but with increasing pressure it rises above the 2.20°K curve, reaching a peak at P_{λ} . At $P > P_{\lambda}$, the values of β drop continuously and approach the 2.20°K value. The minima in the β -versus-P curves increase in depth and breadth as P_{λ} increases, but they seem flattest in the middle of the P_{λ} range. Although the peaks become more distinct with increased P_{λ} , the sharpness of all the peak tips required a




Fig. 4. Compressibility of liquid He⁴ at 1.95 and 2.200°K.

higher than normal resolution; therefore the pressure increment of a measurement was reduced from the usual 0.27 to 0.05 atm in the vicinity of the peak. Portions of the 1.95 and 1.80°K curves are shown in Figs. 4 and 5, respectively, along with the 2.20°K values for comparison. The peak at P_{λ} fades away with increasing temperature until it almost disappears at 2.05°K, although the compressibility excess over the 2.20°K value is still obvious.

In the region between 1.60 and 1.75°K, no λ transition occurs. However, the results in Fig. 6 show that a minimum in the β -versus-P curve persists down to 1.70°K; at 1.75°K, the rate of rise beyond the minimum is similar to that at 1.80°K. Below 1.70°K, (the 1.65°K curve is omitted for clarity) the minimum disappears, but a compressibility excess over the 2.20°K curve remains, amounting to 15% at 1.60°K near the melting pressure.

The temperature variation of β at constant pressure thanged according to the proximity of (T,P) to $(T_{\lambda},P_{\lambda})$. For all temperatures, β at $P \ll P_{\lambda}$ increased with in-




Fig. 5. Compressibility of liquid He⁴ at 1.80 and 2.200°K.

creasing temperature. Near the λ transition, the variation of β with temperature became inverted. The reversion of $(\partial \beta/\partial T)_P$ to the normal plus sign at $P\gg P_{\lambda}$ was not indicated—the compressibilities for different temperatures merged to a common value within $\sim 2\%$, the experimental error, at the highest pressures.

The accuracy of the measurements is summarized here. From a straight sum of possible individual errors in cell calibration plus those from readings of ΔV and ΔP , the maximum error in an individual β should be 2.5 to 5.0% for high to low values of β , respectively; from the root mean square of individual errors, a probable error in β is 1.5 to 3.0% for high to low values. Consideration of $\Delta P_L/\Delta P_U$ alone in Eq. (2) leads to a precision error of 1.0 to 1.7% for high to low values of β . Near the λ transition, the decrease in ΔP for greater resolution probably lowered the accuracy, but here we are mainly interested in the reproducibility of results over a short range of pressure and time. Error in these results is estimated at 2%.

IV. DISCUSSION

The present compressibility measurements provide a view of normal and abnormal behavior in liquid He⁴




Fig. 6. Compressibility of liquid He⁴ at several temperatures below 1.76 and at 2.200°K.